



cutting through complexity™

Report to those charged with governance (ISA 260) 2012/13

Brent Pension Fund

September 2013 - DRAFT



The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Philip Johnstone

Director

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 020 7311 2091

philip.johnstone@kpmg.co.uk

Gary McLeod

Manager

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 020 7311 2061

gary.mcleod@kpmg.co.uk

Daniel Wood

Assistant Manager

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 020 7311 2317

daniel.wood@kpmg.co.uk

Report sections

■ Introduction	2
■ Headlines	3
■ Pension fund accounts	4

Appendices

1. Key issues and recommendations	7
2. Audit differences	8
3. Declaration of independence and objectivity	9

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. The Audit Commission has issued a document entitled *Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies*. This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. We draw your attention to this document which is available on the Audit Commission's website at www.auditcommission.gov.uk.

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Philip Johnstone, the appointed engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact Trevor Rees on 0161 246 4000, or by email to trevor.rees@kpmg.co.uk, who is the national contact partner for all of KPMG's work with the Audit Commission. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access the Audit Commission's complaints procedure. Put your complaint in writing to the Complaints Unit Manager, Audit Commission, 3rd Floor, Fry Building, 2 Marsham Street, London, SW1P 4DF or by email to complaints@audit-commission.gsi.gov.uk. Their telephone number is 03034448330.

This report summarises the key issues identified during our audit of London Borough of Brent Pension Fund's (the Fund's) financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013.

Scope of this report

The Audit Commission's *Code of Audit Practice* requires us to summarise the work we have carried out to discharge our statutory audit responsibilities together with any governance issues identified and report to those charged with governance (in this case the Audit Committee). We are also required to comply with International Standard on Auditing ('ISA') 260 which sets out our responsibilities for communicating with those charged with governance.

This report meets both these requirements. It summarises the key issues identified during our audit of the Fund's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013.

Audit of the pension fund

As with the main audit of the London Borough of Brent ("the Authority"), our audit of the Fund follows a four stage audit process.



This report focuses on the second and third stages of the process: control evaluation and substantive procedures. Our on site work for these took place in two tranches during June 2013 (interim audit) and July 2013 (year end audit).

We carried out the following work (in conjunction with work performed in respect of the audit of the main Authority accounts):

Control Evaluation

- Evaluate entity level internal controls.
- Evaluate and test selected controls over key financial systems.
- Review accounts production process.

Substantive Procedures

- Plan and perform substantive audit procedures.
- Conclude on critical accounting matters.
- Identify audit adjustments.

We are now in the final phase of the audit. Our responsibilities under ISA 260 in relation to the Authority as administering authority are discharged through this report. This includes the following:

Completion

- Declaring our independence and objectivity.
- Obtaining management representations.
- Reporting matters of governance interest.
- Forming our audit opinion.

Structure of this report

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 summarises the headline messages.
- Section 3 sets out the findings from our audit work on the Fund's accounts in more detail.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to thank Officers and Members for their continuing help and co-operation throughout our audit work.

This table summarises the headline messages. The remainder of this report provides further details on each area.

Proposed audit opinion	We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion in relation to the Fund's financial statements, as contained both in the Authority's Statement of Accounts and the Pension Fund Annual Report by 30 September 2013. At the date of this report our audit of the Fund's financial statements is substantially complete. Our remaining completion procedures are carried out jointly with those for the main audit. This includes obtaining a signed management representation letter, which covers the financial statements of both the Authority and the Fund.
Audit adjustments	We are pleased to report that our audit of the Fund's financial statements identified only one audit adjustment which was a minor presentational adjustment to a disclosure note. The Authority has agreed that this will be adjusted.
Accounts production and audit process	The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the Fund's financial statements and adequate supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales.
Control environment	Controls over the Fund's key financial systems are sound. We have raised one minor recommendation from our work, which is outlined in Appendix 1.

We have identified no issues in the course of the audit that are considered to be material.

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion in relation to the Fund's financial statements, as contained both in the Authority's Statement of Accounts and the Pension Fund Annual Report by 30 September 2013.

Proposed audit opinion

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion following approval of the Statement of Accounts by the Audit Committee on 25 September 2013.

Audit differences

In accordance with ISA 260 we are required to report uncorrected audit differences to you. We also report any material misstatements which have been corrected and which we believe should be communicated to you to help you meet your governance responsibilities.

We did not identify any material misstatements.

We identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the *CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting the United Kingdom 2012/13*. We understand that the Authority will be addressing these where significant.

Completion

At the date of this report, our audit of the Fund's financial statements is substantially complete except for final reviews of the audit work and completion of our review of post balance sheet events.

Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter. The representations in relation to the Fund will be included in the Authority's representation letter.

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Fund's financial statements. A full declaration of our independence is set out in the main *ISA 260 Report* for the Authority.

Annual Report

We have reviewed the Pension Fund Annual Report and confirmed that the financial and non-financial information it contains is not inconsistent with the financial information contained in the audited financial statements.

We anticipate issuing an unqualified opinion on the Pension Fund Annual Report at the same time as our opinion on the Statement of Accounts.

The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the Fund's financial statements and adequate supporting working papers.

Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process could be completed within the planned timescales.

Accounts production and audit process

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you our views about the significant qualitative aspects of the accounting practices and financial reporting relating to the Fund. We also assessed the Authority's process for preparing the Fund's financial statements and its support for an efficient audit.

We considered the following criteria:

Element	Commentary
Accounting practices and financial reporting	The Fund has good arrangements for the production of the financial statements. We consider that accounting practices are appropriate.
Completeness of draft accounts	We received a complete set of draft accounts on 28 June 2013. There were no material amendments required to the accounts.
Quality of supporting working papers	Our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> , issued and discussed with the Pensions Accountant, set out our working paper requirements for the audit. The quality of working papers provided generally met the standards specified in our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> , however there were some instances where further clarity was needed to understand the detail (for example trial balance and analytical review).
Critical accounting matters (key audit risks)	We have discussed with officers throughout the year the areas of specific audit risk and undertaken specific audit procedures. There are no matters to draw to your attention.

Element	Commentary
Response to audit queries	Officers resolved audit queries in a reasonable time.

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Fund's financial statements.

Our remaining completion procedures are carried out jointly with those for the main audit. This includes obtaining a signed management representation letter, which covers the financial statements of both the Authority and the Fund.

Declaration of independence and objectivity

As part of the finalisation process we are required to provide you with representations concerning our independence.

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of London Borough of Brent Pension Fund for the year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and London Borough of Brent, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We have provided a detailed declaration in Appendix 3 in accordance with ISA 260.

Management representations

You are required to provide us with representations on specific matters such as your financial standing and whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud. Our remaining completion procedures are carried out jointly with those for the main audit. This includes obtaining a signed management representation letter, which covers the financial statements of both the Authority and the Fund.

Other matters

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you by exception 'audit matters of governance interest that arise from the audit of the financial statements'.

There are no others matters which we wish to draw to your attention in addition to those highlighted in this report relating to the audit of the Authority's 2012/13 financial statements.

We have given the recommendation a risk rating and agreed what action management will need to take.

The Authority should monitor progress in addressing our recommendation.

We will formally follow up this recommendation as part of next year's audit.

Priority rating for recommendations			
1	Priority one: issues that are fundamental and material to your system of internal control. We believe that these issues might mean that you do not meet a system objective or reduce (mitigate) a risk.	2	Priority two: issues that have an important effect on internal controls but do not need immediate action. You may still meet a system objective in full or in part or reduce (mitigate) a risk adequately but the weakness remains in the system.
		3	Priority three: issues that would, if corrected, improve the internal control in general but are not vital to the overall system. These are generally issues of best practice that we feel would benefit you if you introduced them.

No.	Risk	Issue and recommendation	Management response / responsible officer / due date
1	3	Investment assets are held in the general ledger at cost meaning that changes to the market value of the investments are not accounted for in the general ledger. It is important that there is a reconciliation from cost of investments held in the general ledger to current market value shown in the net assets statement. This reconciliation is not currently produced. It is recommended a reconciliation is produced to reconcile the cost of investments to the current market value.	The Council were able to provide a comprehensive suite of external fund manager reports to evidence the market values included in the accounts. However, it is acknowledged that the Council were unable to provide a year-end working paper substantiated by reports from the fund managers to clearly show the link between how the book values recorded in the general ledger "reconcile" with the market values included in the accounts. This will be produced in future years. Head of Exchequer and Investment 31 March 2014

Appendix 2: Audit differences

This appendix sets out the significant audit differences.

There are no uncorrected non-trivial audit differences.

Corrected audit differences are presentational only.

We are required by ISA 260 to report all uncorrected misstatements, other than those that we believe are clearly trivial, to those charged with governance (which in the case of the Fund is the Public Accounts and Audit Select Committee). We are also required to report all material misstatements that have been corrected but that we believe should be communicated to you to assist you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

The audit did not identify any material adjustments.

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to exercise our professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the Authority.

Requirements

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission must comply with the *Code of Audit Practice* (the Code) which states that:

“Auditors and their staff should exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body. Auditors, or any firm with which an auditor is associated, should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of auditors’ functions, if it would impair the auditors’ independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be impaired.”

In considering issues of independence and objectivity we consider relevant professional, regulatory and legal requirements and guidance, including the provisions of the Code, the detailed provisions of the Statement of Independence included within the Audit Commission’s Standing guidance for local government auditors (Audit Commission Guidance) and the requirements of APB Ethical Standard 1 *Integrity, Objectivity and Independence* (Ethical Standards).

The Code states that, in carrying out their audit of the financial statements, auditors should comply with auditing standards currently in force, and as may be amended from time to time. Audit Commission Guidance requires appointed auditors to follow the provisions of ISA (UK & I) 260 *Communication of Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance* that are applicable to the audit of listed companies. This means that the appointed auditor must disclose in writing:

- Details of all relationships between the auditor and the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by the audit firm and its network to the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, that the auditor considers may reasonably be thought to bear on the auditor’s objectivity and independence.
- The related safeguards that are in place.

- The total amount of fees that the auditor and the auditor’s network firms have charged to the client and its affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed into appropriate categories, for example, statutory audit services, further audit services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services. For each category, the amounts of any future services which have been contracted or where a written proposal has been submitted are separately disclosed. We do this in our Annual Audit Letter.

Appointed auditors are also required to confirm in writing that they have complied with Ethical Standards and that, in the auditor’s professional judgement, the auditor is independent and the auditor’s objectivity is not compromised, or otherwise declare that the auditor has concerns that the auditor’s objectivity and independence may be compromised and explaining the actions which necessarily follow from his. These matters should be discussed with the Public Accounts and Audit Select Committee.

Ethical Standards require us to communicate to those charged with governance in writing at least annually all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and the objectivity of the Audit Partner and the audit team.

General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG’s reputation is built, in great part, upon the conduct of our professionals and their ability to deliver objective and independent advice and opinions. That integrity and objectivity underpins the work that KPMG performs and is important to the regulatory environments in which we operate. All partners and staff have an obligation to maintain the relevant level of required independence and to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that may impair that independence.

Appendix 3: Declaration of independence and objectivity (continued)

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Acting as an auditor places specific obligations on the firm, partners and staff in order to demonstrate the firm's required independence. KPMG's policies and procedures regarding independence matters are detailed in the Ethics and Independence Manual ('the Manual'). The Manual sets out the overriding principles and summarises the policies and regulations which all partners and staff must adhere to in the area of professional conduct and in dealings with clients and others.

KPMG is committed to ensuring that all partners and staff are aware of these principles. To facilitate this, a hard copy of the Manual is provided to everyone annually. The Manual is divided into two parts. Part 1 sets out KPMG's ethics and independence policies which partners and staff must observe both in relation to their personal dealings and in relation to the professional services they provide. Part 2 of the Manual summarises the key risk management policies which partners and staff are required to follow when providing such services.

All partners and staff must understand the personal responsibilities they have towards complying with the policies outlined in the Manual and follow them at all times. To acknowledge understanding of and adherence to the policies set out in the Manual, all partners and staff are required to submit an annual Ethics and Independence Confirmation. Failure to follow these policies can result in disciplinary action.

Auditor declaration

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of London Borough of Brent Pension Fund for the financial year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and London Borough of Brent Pension Fund, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff, other than set out in the next paragraph. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.



cutting through complexity™

© 2013 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership, is a subsidiary of KPMG Europe LLP and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. This document is confidential and its circulation and use are restricted. KPMG and the KPMG logo are registered trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity.

The KPMG name, logo and 'cutting through complexity' are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative (KPMG International).